

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/897,365	07/02/2001	Adrianus Wilhelmus Maria Van Den Enden	NL 000385	8465	
24737	7590 02/27/2006		EXAMINER		
	ITELLECTUAL PROP	ARMSTRONG, ANGELA A			
P.O. BOX 30 BRIARCLIF	001 FMANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2654		
			DATE MAILED: 02/27/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			97,365	VAN DEN ENDE	N ET AL.			
			niner	Art Unit				
		Angel	la A. Armstrong	2654				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communica Reply	tion appears or	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO po - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL ons of time may be available under the provisions of 3 K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF F7 CFR 1.136(a). In a cation, ory period will apply a by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMUN no event, however, may a and will expire SIX (6) MO te application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ F	esponsive to communication(s) filed o	n 05 Decemb	er 2005					
•	•							
•—								
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	n of Claims	, ,	•	·				
· <u> </u>	laim(s) 7-26 is/are pending in the app	Jication						
•	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v		n consideration					
	laim(s) <u>14-18,21 and 22</u> is/are allowe		r combiación.					
·	laim(s) 7-13,19,20,23-26 is/are rejecto	•						
•	laim(s) <u>r-rs, rs, zs, zs, zs, zs, are reject</u> laim(s) is/are objected to.	cu.						
•	laim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election	on requirement					
0) 0	airi(s) are subject to restriction		on requirement.					
Application	n Papers	:						
9)□ TI	ne specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10)□ Ti	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted c	or b) objected to	o by the Examiner.				
•	pplicant may not request that any objectio			-				
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the				CFR 1.121(d).			
11)[Ti	ne oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner	r. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)□ Ad	knowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
,—	All b) Some * c) None of:			3 / (2) (2) (2)				
, —	Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have	been received.					
	Certified copies of the priority do			Application No.				
	Copies of the certified copies of t			· ·	l Stage			
Ū	application from the International	•			·······································			
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action for	*		ot received.				
								
		:						
Attachment(s)	:						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO			o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PT	·O-152)			
	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTolo(s)/Mail Date	U/3B/U8) ·	6) Other: _		U 102)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed October 21, 2005, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to the 35 USC § 101 rejection of claims 7-13 and 23-25, the changes to the claims fail to overcome the non-statutory rejection by merely stating the invention operates a filter using the calculated filter coefficients to filter a signal. While the claim may include an intended use for the invention, the claimed invention does not produce a useful, concrete and tangible result and involves no more than manipulating an abstract idea. The rejection maintained for claims 7-13 is applied to claims 23-25.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because section headings for such as the Brief Summary of the Invention, Explanation of the Drawings, etc. cited as follows in the previous Office Action, remain missing:

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without

Application/Control Number: 09/897,365 Page 3

Art Unit: 2654

underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. Claims 7-13, 19-20 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims are not in the useful technical arts, consisting of types of mathematical subject matter not entitled to patent protection standing alone.

Application/Control Number: 09/897,365 Page 4

Art Unit: 2654

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 14-18 and 21-22 are allowed.

6. Claims 7-13, 19-20 and 23-25 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections) under 35 U.S.C. 101 set forth in this Office action.

- 7. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 The present invention is directed to a filter used in the reconstruction of speech signals,
 with the parameters for the filter being obtained directly from LSF.

Regarding claims 7, 14 and 23; Fette et al teach receiving a filter description (column 7 lines 10-12) comprising line spectral frequency coefficients (column 7 lines 1 2-1 5), Ramo teaches the step of computing a linear predictive coding filter from the line spectral frequency coefficients by computing an inverse polynomial (column 1 lines 1-2) by creating an ordered original series of polynomial factors comprising polynomial factors calculated from the line spectral frequency coefficients ((paragraph 0010) line 1) and Rotola-Pukkila et al read on the step of reducing the number of polynomial factors in the original series, combining the polynomial factors in pairs until only two final polynomial factors remain (by applying the liberal interpretation of decomposing, column 2 lines 5-13). The step of forming the inverse polynomial by multiplying the two final polynomial factors for calculating the filter coefficients

Application/Control Number: 09/897,365 Page 5

Art Unit: 2654

from the inverse polynomial were neither anticipated nor was it found in obvious combination in the prior art of record.

Claims 8-13, 15-22 and 24-26 depend on claims that are allowed.

Conclusion

9. This is a request for continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/897,365. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela A. Armstrong whose telephone number is 571-272-7598.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 11:30-8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on 571-272-7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Angela A Armstrong Primary Examiner Art Unit 2654

Augela Chinstrony

AAA February 21, 2006